

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

THIRPLUS MOOSE,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN, FCI BASTROP,

Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

1:22-CV-1000-RP

ORDER

Before the Court is Petitioner Thirplus Moose’s (“Moose”) Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, (Dkt. 1). The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Mark Lane for findings and recommendations, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Judge Lane filed his report and recommendation on August 21, 2023. (Dkt. 11). In his report and recommendation, Judge Lane recommends that the Court dismiss Moose’s petition as it relates to actual innocence which generally should be addressed in a § 2255 petition. (*Id.* at 5). If Moose chooses to file a § 2255 petition, Moose must file it with the sentencing court, the Western District of Missouri. Because the Court lacks jurisdiction to hear his § 2255 petition, the Court should grant the motion to dismiss and dismiss Moose’s § 2241 petition. As of the date of this order, Moose has not filed any objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 11), is **ADOPTED. IT IS ORDERED** that Moose's Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, (Dkt. 1), is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of jurisdiction.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

SIGNED on October 20, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'R. Pitman', is written above a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE